Trabecular Bone Microarchitecture and Characteristics in Different Regions of the Glenoid
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abstract

Success of shoulder surgery depends on implant fixation to the glenoid trabecular bone. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the anatomic characteristics of the normal glenoid trabecular bone microarchitecture to help assist in implant design and provide data for finite element analyses. Eight cadavers without evidence of osteoarthritis were used. Glenoids were scanned with micro-computed tomography and then divided into lateral and medial, then superior, inferior, anterior, and posterior quadrants (8 total segments). Each segment was analyzed for total mineral density, bone volume fraction, structure model index, and trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), number (Tb.N), and separation. Bone volume fraction was significantly higher (P<.05) in the posterolateral (20.8%±4.5%) and posteromedial (18.6%±2.3%) regions. Both Tb.N and Tb.Th were also highest in the posterolateral (Tb.N, 1.74±0.374 mm; Tb.Th, 0.148±0.017 mm) and posteromedial (Tb.N, 1.49±0.401 mm; Tb.Th, 0.165±0.016 mm) regions. Trabecular separation was greatest in the superomedial segment (1.00±0.181 mm) and lowest in the posterolateral region (0.663±0.121 mm). For structural model index, both the posterolateral (0.314) and posteromedial (0.312) regions had lower values than the other regions. The posterior segment of the normal glenoid in both the lateral and medial regions has the highest density, which is attributed to the increased trabecular number and thickness with decreased separation. This increased density may be attributed to the posterior directed loading of the glenohumeral joint. The trabecular microarchitecture in the glenoid is plate-like, as indicated by the low structural model index. [Orthopedics. 2015; 38(3):e163-e168.]
T

echnique and implant technology in shoulder surgery has advanced significantly over the past decade.\textsuperscript{1} Bankart\textsuperscript{2} described the first capsulolabral repair with reattachment of the soft tissue onto the glenoid rim for shoulder instability in 1938. Since that time, various techniques and labral fixation implants have been reported in literature.\textsuperscript{3,7} Success of anchor fixation and pullout strength rely on the quality of the bone micro-architecture.\textsuperscript{8,9} Similarly, total shoulder arthroplasty (TSA) was first introduced by Neer\textsuperscript{10} in the 1970s and has evolved into a well-established treatment method for shoulder arthritis and many other shoulder pathologies.\textsuperscript{11}

Most patients achieve significant improvement in shoulder function along with decreased pain and better overall physical well-being after the procedure.\textsuperscript{12} Complications are rare; however, glenoid loosening remains the main concern that may result in revision surgery and deterioration in quality of life.\textsuperscript{13-16} Factors associated with glenoid loosening include the amount of bone ingrowth for cementless glenoid components, cement-to-bone interdigitation and cement technique for cemented components,\textsuperscript{17} eccentric loading of the glenoid component,\textsuperscript{13,14} and infection. Most of these factors are highly dependent on the quality of the glenoid bone and especially on the microarchitecture of the trabecular bone. In addition, soft tissue balancing, implant version, implant size, and quality of the rotator cuff musculature are also important factors affecting implant survival and longevity in TSA.

Several investigators reported on glenoid bone mineral density in both cortical and cancellous regions.\textsuperscript{18-22} These studies were typically done with low-resolution micro-computed tomography (microCT) and reported higher bone mineral density in the upper and posterior regions of the glenoid.\textsuperscript{18,23,24} Mechanical properties of different regions of the glenoid were also investigated\textsuperscript{20,22,24,26} using techniques such as ultrasound,\textsuperscript{27} indentation,\textsuperscript{26} and direct compression testing.\textsuperscript{22,23} These studies reported variation in the mechanical properties in the same glenoid regions, which may be due to the differences in cadaver ages, techniques, or measurement protocols.\textsuperscript{22}

Whereas the mechanical properties of the cortical bone are relatively consistent, the properties of the trabecular bone are varied. To design a successful glenoid component or suture anchor, it is essential to understand the characteristics and microarchitecture of the trabecular bone. Poor bone quality is a major contributor to glenoid component loosening in TSA. Thus, the current authors evaluated the characteristics of the glenoid trabecular bone microarchitecture using high-resolution microCT images (18.5 µm) and 3-dimensional (3-D) reconstructions. The data obtained from this study can be applied to future research aimed at improving implant fixation and can also assist in finite element analysis to discover alternative designs.

**Materials and Methods**

Human glenoids were obtained from 8 fresh-frozen unpaired cadaver shoulders (4 men and 4 women; average age, 75±13 years; 4 right and 4 left shoulders). The specimens included the clavicle, scapula, humerus, and surrounding soft tissue. Specimens were stored in a -20°C freezer and thawed to room temperature for 24 hours before dissection. All soft tissues were removed by sharp dissection, with careful attention not to damage the glenoid. The glenoid was then exposed after disarticulation of the clavicle and the humeral head from the glenohumeral joint. None of the glenoids had visual signs of osteoarthritis or other cartilage damage. No osteophytes were detected on the glenoid or the humeral side of the shoulder joint, and no morphological abnormalities (eg, glenoid hypoplasia) were observed. Subsequently, after removing the coracoid process at the base, a sagittal saw was used to separate the glenoid at the neck and bottom of the glenoid vault. Capsulolabral tissue was dissected off the rim of the glenoid with a scalpel. Care was taken to ensure that the orientation of each specimen was recorded.

Each specimen was then scanned in 70% ethanol with microCT (Scanco µCT 35 system; Scanco Medical AG, Bassersdorf, Switzerland) at a resolution setting of 18.5 µm voxel size, 55 kVp, and 0.36° rotation step. A 180° angular range and a 400-ms exposure per view were used. The manufacturer’s software was used for 3-D reconstruction of each image. Using the 3-D reconstruction, each glenoid was divided into medial and lateral regions or segments. This was accomplished by dividing the medial-to-lateral distance of the glenoid vault with the image-processing software into 2 halves of the exact same size (glenoid vault distance medial-to-lateral/2). Subsequently, superior, inferior, posterior, and anterior quadrants of the glenoid were divided into 8 total segments (Figure 1): superolateral, supromedial, inferolateral, inferomedial, anterolateral, anteromedial, posterolateral, and posterosmedial. The trabecular bone volume was defined digitally by separating the cortical shell via manually outlining the corticocancellous boundary, and the cortical bone region was subtracted from each
As in the other joints in the body, the architecture of the subchondral plate and the cancellous bone adapts to mecan-
Higher loads are exhibited in the posterior glenoid vault, consistent with biomechanical studies that showed that trabecular bone is denser in regions of high shear stress. Using a stereophotogrammetric technique to quantify contact patterns in the glenoid, Soslowsky et al. illustrated a posterior shift in the glenoid contact area as the humeral head was elevated and abducted. In a gleno-humeral biomechanical model, Gupta and Lee also found that posteriorly directed forces and contact pressures were significantly increased in overhead activities. In contrast to these studies, Bey et al. evaluated in vivo glenohumeral joint contact patterns in patients with a combined 3-D bone model and joint motion data. They reported that at baseline, the glenoid contact center is always located in the posterior region of the glenoid. With arm abduction, significant changes to the contact center occur in a superior-to-inferior direction, not an anterior-to-posterior direction as reported by Soslowsky et al. Boyer et al. also supported the findings of Bey et al. with in vivo orthogonal fluoroscopic images and magnetic resonance imaging computer models that showed that the centroid of contact on the glenoid is always more than 5 mm posterior from the geometric center of the glenoid. These in vivo contact patterns help explain the finding that the posterolateral and posteromedial segments had the highest BMD and BV/TV, whereas the superomedial segment had the lowest values. It appears that even in the normal shoulder without evidence of osteoarthritis, the normal force and loading pattern is directed posterior on the glenoid, resulting in the higher density in the posterior (medial and lateral) segments.

Cancellous bone strength reflects a combination of density and microarchitecture. Bone volume fraction is correlated with other structural properties such as Tb.Sp, Tb.Th, and Tb.N. In a microCT analysis of cadaver calcanei, Mittra et al. showed that Tb.N and Tb.Sp and connectivity density had the strongest correlations. More importantly, these 3 indices were also significantly correlated to ultimate strength and outperformed bone mineral density as a predictor. As trabeculae are lost, connectivity decreases and trabecular separation increases. However, Tb.Th was not significantly correlated to any of the other trabecular indices. There is evidence that mechanical augmentation of bone results in a thickening of existing trabeculae without increasing the number of trabeculae. However, bone loss reduces the number of trabeculae without decreasing the thickness of trabeculae. Despite this finding, ultimate bone strength is still better correlated with Tb.N than with Tb.Th. Several studies reported the ultimate strength of bone in the glenoid as ranging from 10.3 to 110 MPa, with the strongest bone in the posterosuperior or postero-central regions.
The lowest strength was typically found in the anteroinferior region, which is consistent with the current authors’ microarchitecture results (anteromedial and anterolateral segments). The large variation in the reported ultimate strength is likely due to the differences in cadaver age and mechanical testing techniques. Bone elastic modulus was shown to decrease in up to 80% of specimens from the age of 20 to 80 years.

Looking at trabeculae microarchitecture, both the posterolateral and postero- medial segments had the highest Tb.N and Tb.Th. However, the Tb.Sp was significantly lower in the posterolateral segment (0.66±0.12) compared with all other segments. The posterolateral region is located directly under the subchondral surface and experiences the most load from the humeral head. This explains the significantly increased Tb.N (1.7±0.37) with decreased Tb.Th (0.15±0.02) and Tb.Sp. The increased number of trabeculae in this segment is likely in direct adaptive response to the increased load transferred through this region of the glenoid. The fact that Tb.N and Tb.Th were increased posteriorly in both the lateral and medial regions is also consistent with the known loading patterns of the glenohumeral joint. Furthermore, patients with capsulorrhaphy arthroplasty secondary to over-tightening of the anterior capsule or primary osteoarthritis will further move the center of rotation posteriorly, which is responsible for the posteriorly directed wear patterns and trabecular microarchitecture. The higher Tb.Th represents mechanical adaptation of the posterior glenoid bone in response to higher loads. The posterior glenoid also has a higher Tb.N and therefore would be expected to possess the highest ultimate strength. The decreased Tb.Sp posteriorly is a natural consequence of the increased number of trabeculae in the same space, thus resulting in increased TMD and BV/TV.

The overall SMI of the glenoids was between 0.3 and 1.5 and was significantly lower in the posterolateral (0.3) and posteromedial (0.3) segments compared with the other segments. Thus, the morphology of the glenoid trabecular bone is more plate-like than cylindrical rods and oriented perpendicular to the subchondral bone with thin rods interconnecting the plates. However, progressing from the posterolateral/posteromedial region to the anteromedial/anterolateral region, the morphology becomes less plate-like as more rods are seen on the 3-D images, consistent with the increasing SMI (Figure 3, Table). This plate-like morphology has also been described by Frich et al.

A limitation of the current study is the ages of the cadavers from which the authors extracted the glenoids. Although none of the cadavers had visual evidence of osteoarthritis, due to their advanced age, the donors may have had early-onset osteoarthritis and posterior humeral head subluxation that would have altered the contact pattern to shift posteriorly, thus resulting in the higher density seen in the posteromedial and posterolateral regions. However, no posterior cartilage wear was evident on visual inspection, and several studies support the finding that the native glenohumeral contract region is located primarily in the posterior aspects of the glenoid. Future studies will focus on trabecular bone analysis in the arthritic glenoid and will be evaluated based on the amount of retroversion and glenoid morphology.

**CONCLUSION**

The posteromedial and posterolateral segments of a normal nonarthritic glenoid exhibited the highest TMD, Tb.N, and Tb.Th and a decreased Tb.Sp. This finding supports the theory that the normal shoulder kinematics and glenohumeral joint loading are predominantly in the posterior aspect of the glenoid. Furthermore, the posterior region is also more plate-like than the anterior region. Future designs of glenoid implants or suture anchors should account for the distinct differences in the trabecular microarchitecture between different regions of the glenoid.
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