Erratum for “Long-term Visual Function and Patient Satisfaction After Bilateral Implantation and Combination of Two Similar Multifocal IOLs”

The article “Long-term Visual Function and Patient Satisfaction After Bilateral Implantation and Combination of Two Similar Multifocal IOLs” by Mastropasqua et al., which was published in the May 2015 issue of the Journal of Refractive Surgery (volume 31, number 5, pp. 308-314), has been amended to include factual corrections. An error was identified subsequent to its original printing. The article contained a paragraph from the draft version of the manuscript prior to completing data collection. The online article and its erratum are considered the version of record.

On pages 310-311, the third paragraph under Results should read as follows:

Table 2 shows the postoperative binocular visual acuity outcomes. In terms of uncorrected near visual acuity, the D1 group achieved the best results at a distance of 40 cm. The difference in the results obtained in the T0 group compared to both groups was statistically significant \((P < .001)\). The combined group showed a slightly lower visual acuity than the D1 group \((P = .004)\), but better than the T0 group \((P < .001)\). The T0 group showed the best performance in terms of uncorrected intermediate visual acuity, whereas statistically significant differences at 50 cm were observed only between the D1 group and the other two groups \((P = .008\) and \(.002)\). Statistically significant differences at 60 cm were observed between the D1 group and T0 group \((P < .001)\) and the combined group showed a higher visual discrimination compared to the D1 group \((P < .001)\). All groups showed an excellent uncorrected visual acuity. No statistically significant differences were found in terms of corrected near, intermediate, and distance visual acuity.
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